

28
The Wickedness of a Factious Disposition.

693. d. 15
8
A
S E R M O N

Preached at the

PARISH-CHURCH

O F

St. GEORGE the MARTYR,

SEPTEMBER 1, 1745,

O N

Occasion of the RUMOUR of an
INVASION in SCOTLAND.

By STRICKLAND GOUGH, M.A.

Rector of *Swafield*, and Vicar of *Swinstead*
in LINCOLNSHIRE.

L O N D O N:

Printed for W. SANDBY, at the *Ship*, without *Temple-Bar*.

M.DCC.XLV.

[Price Six-pence.]

MEMORIAL

PARISH-CHURCH

ST. GEORGE'S MANTRY

ST. GEORGE'S MANTRY

ST. GEORGE'S MANTRY

ST. GEORGE'S MANTRY

T H E

P R E F A C E.

S*OME private avocations have prevented my complying sooner with the request of the gentlemen, who have desired me to publish the following discourse; and I am now the more ready to do it, as no one has considered the subject in the same manner. The principles I have advanced, are not only calculated for the present occasion; but, rightly attended to, will, I trust, guard*

The PREFACE.

the minds of people against the pernicious poison daily spread among us; which has given too much encouragement to the attempt now made; and will always keep us loyal upon principles of honour and virtue, as well as of private interest. It was from this motive I appeared so early in this Cause: I am sorry the Event has so fully justify'd the propriety of it.

Whitehall,
Oct. 26, 1745.

PSALM

PSALM cxx. 5, 6.

*My soul hath long dwelt among
them that are enemies unto
peace. I labour for peace,
but when I speak unto them
thereof; they make them
ready to battle.*

A Faction, turbulent spirit has been
productive of so many mischiefs,
not only to this nation, but uni-
versally wherever it has prevail'd;
that it is surprizing how it should continue
to be covered over with pretences plausible
enough to possess any honest or well-mean-
ing man in its favour: And yet so it is in
fact,

fact, that under the venerable Names of *patriotism* or *religion*, it has introduced confusions as bad, or worse, than *slavery* or *atheism* themselves: For nothing can be a more effectual thralldom, than to be perpetually subject to the capricious humour of designing men; nor more destructive of every good principle, than to be taught, that God Almighty not only countenances, but commands, the most outrageous cruelties: Which, according to the observation of a celebrated *heathen* writer, is much more injurious to him, than to believe that he has no existence at all.

Now, as it is evident that there *have* been, and probable that there *may often* be, pretended *patriots*, who have no real zeal for the liberties and welfare of society; and *zealots*, who have no regard for the honour of God, nor the happiness of his creatures; it will be *always* proper, and there are *certain* occasions when it may be singularly necessary, to expose the pretences of both. — There is something so odious in the nature of *sedition*, that it will never pass upon mankind

mankind without a disguise: But *religion* and *liberty* are enchanting sounds; the effects of which, in the mouths of factious and turbulent persons, history is full of; and against which it will always be expedient for us to be upon our guard. For the Question is not so much, whether there are any such persons existing among ourselves, or whether one, or other, party is to be opposed in *that* light; (for a country of liberty will always be divided into parties) but only to advance such principles, and make such observations, as will enable every one to judge for himself: For though the Pulpit is not a place to meddle with those differences; yet it is the indispensable duty of the ministers of the Gospel to instruct the people in all virtuous and worthy maxims of conduct: And it will appear in the sequel of this discourse, that the disposition I am speaking of is vicious and immoral, as well as mischievous, and destructive of social happiness.

In

In a sense therefore of this duty which I owe to my profession, I proceed to expose the arts of factious and turbulent persons, with regard to their pretences either to *religion* or *liberty*. Which are the two greatest blessings mankind can enjoy: The one being the support, and protector of society; the other the most happy, flourishing, and consistent estate in which it can subsist: Without the first we should have none at all; and without the last it would be as bad, or worse, than none. And as men are almost universally convinced of this, no wonder they should be under the strongest apprehensions, when either of them appears to be in danger, or have the highest veneration for the guardians and defenders of them: Nay, so very tender an affection is there in human nature for both, that many have sacrificed their lives for their defence; and those who have done so, stand the foremost characters in history.

Since this then is the case, there cannot be a more artful method for *ambitious* men, than to profess to advance them in general,
or

or than to ruin one, by promoting the other; the latter having been often destroyed in compliment to the former; which is the reason that *liberty* and *popery* can never subsist together; that religion having no recommendation in the world, but the *political* contrivance of it, to serve the views and purposes of arbitrary and tyrannical men; the influence of which on the lower people is so notorious, that we have seen schemes of the same nature invented even in *protestant* countries, and the danger of the church as artfully insinuated as among the most zealous advocates of popery, and methods made use of, for the defence of whatever people had a mind to call the church, every whit as destructive of liberty.—And it will be very easy for us to observe, how this Spirit may work among all sorts and parties of men.

Religion properly consists in the practice of virtue, in order that we may please God, and be rewarded by him; and this nothing can more effectually promote than the pub-

B

lick

lick worship of God, which has always been thought, as actually it is, to be as much the care of the civil magistrate to encourage as any other of the social duties; it being the proper foundation of them all; infomuch, that it is very likely, if there was no establishment, no civil encouragement given to religion, nor any publick example set of it, there would be none at all: And certainly, good magistrates will always take particular care of this supreme welfare of the people. What then can be a more plausible method of recommending themselves to their esteem, than for ambitious men to insinuate, that this is neglected; that, on the contrary, *they* have a very high zeal for it, and should be glad of all occasions to promote and encourage it? Especially, if to this they add a great deal of pomp, or a great deal of plainness to it; whichever is most likely to suit the present humour of the people; or advance any new, or revive any old, doctrines concerning it. For it is in religion, as it is in common life; there are peculiar fashions, that have
their

[II]

their day : And it was morally impossible for the late usurper *Cromwell* to have made the alterations in *this nation* that he did, if he had not taken advantage of the different sects and sentiments of religion, that then prevailed ; which, how opposite soever among themselves, yet united in a certain gravity and stiffness, and to oppose the more chearful establishment : At other times, and in other places, something more showey has had the same effect ; and the bounds of christian communion have been widened, or brought within a narrower compass, as best fell in with the immediate humour of the people.

Now, in order to judge when these designs are the schemes of tyranny or ambition, it will be necessary to consider, what religion requires, either of the magistrate, or of the people, and to stop there. Of the magistrate, it requires that he promote virtue and piety by all possible encouragements ; and discountenance vice, profaneness, and atheism by every reasonable me-

thod : That the worship he establishe be such as will best influence the people to honour God, and love their neighbours. And this likewise is sufficient to explain, what it requires of the people themselves.

Now this cannot possibly be done by methods of persecution, for example; the design of religion being to save mens lives, not to destroy them : Nor can it be done by an intemperate zeal *for*, or *against*, particular ceremonies or modes of religion; religion consisting in the *inward* disposition *of the heart*, of which particular forms, or gestures, are only the outward expressions. And therefore to force men to express, or hinder them from expressing, their minds in any particular way, of which none can be said to be absolutely better in itself than another, can never answer that end : Or, on the other hand, obstinately to stand out against the common fashion of worship; or to make it consist of any thing rather than the religion of the magistrate, and the usages of others about us, has as little of religion

religion in it, as any one thing that can possibly be devised.—Upon the whole, when men place religion in, or connect the favour of God with, either of these, and destroy the moral practices of justice or charity on their account, we may determine, that it is *faction* at the bottom; and that they are not pursuing the honour and worship of God, but temporal power and dominion.

However, it is by no means to be understood from hence (and the heat of party is too apt to warp the judgment, and make one easily *misunderstood*; I say, it is by no means to be understood) that either the advocates for antient ceremonies, and strict adherence to the rites of their forefathers; nor yet the admirers of a plainer and less adorned method of worship; nor yet the advocates for so large a liberty, as induces them to teach the encouragement of the civil power to be an imposition in religion; or those, who in the spirit of meekness, or by any fair rules of disputation, endeavour to convince their neighbours of any errors,
or

or to propagate, or establish, any truth: It is not to be understood, that either of these are *therefore* turbulent or factious persons; but only that they become so, when they are unpeaceable among themselves, endeavouring each to controul his neighbour, impose his own sentiments on him, and thereby become "disobedient to government," (which is expressly forbidden by christianity) and bring disorder and confusion into the state. For, men may enjoy their different sentiments, and observe their different modes, consistently with catholic charity, and the duty they owe the government; agreeably to the wisdom and candour of our constitution, which allows a universal toleration.

But, when private persons would either subvert, or distress, the government on account of such differences, it then becomes faction and rebellion: Which will bear no dispute, there being so many passages in the New Testament, enjoining submission even to heathen magistrates, and to avoid all
appear-

appearance of disturbing the government, and fomenting rebellion; even though it were in order to propagate the *true* religion, the divine revelation of the gospel itself.

SINCE then *religion* will by no means defend a factious, unpeaceable disposition; let us proceed to enquire into the other pretence of *seditious* men, namely, to *liberty*; which, indeed, is by far the more reasonable pretence of the two: For religion can never properly be taken away from any; religion existing only in the mind, not in outward profession; but liberty really may be taken away, by others of superior strength, or by violence; or we may be induced voluntarily to resign it by artful and cunning insinuations.

Now, as every man, who is under government, resigns some degrees of his liberty, or more properly throws it into a publick stock, to make, as it were, a general fund of liberty for the universal good;

good; the question is, how much he must give up, in order to enjoy it upon the whole. Restraints there must necessarily be; or else the liberties of every one would, in its turn, be destroyed; the liberty of savages, and of a civilized, well-compacted society, being two very different things.

Liberty consists in an uninterrupted enjoyment of the benefit of those *known* laws, that are enacted for mutual preservation: The design of government being for a protection against the invasions of unjust and violent men, and for a friendly intercourse in all the necessary offices of society. Both of which were impossible, if individuals did not give up a certain proportion of their *natural* liberty into the hands of *some*, that it may be extended for the benefit of *all*. From hence arises property,—the enacting of civil laws,—and the punishment of offenders;—no man having a natural right to any thing more than the fruits of his own labour, for the necessary subsistence of himself and family; or to

controul

controul the rest; or to punish any other injuries than those done to himself: And in them too, it is very likely his passions or prejudices might carry him to too great lengths. But to prevent the injuries that might arise from hence, and to civilize and polish men from their natural rudeness and barbarity, it is necessary, as I have said, to *transfer* their private rights into the hands of some, who may blend them together, and by proper curbs appoint as *general* a right as they can; which at last results in the good of the restrained, since, in their turn, they will receive the benefit of the same restraint upon the rest.

So that liberty is not a power of enjoying every thing that comes in a man's way, or doing every thing he has a natural power of doing; but only, enjoying his share in the disposition of the whole. Now, though, in strictness of speech, this is restraint; yet it is what has, in all nations and languages, and by the best writers, been called liberty; compared with the hard restraints people

C

are

are under, where tyrannical and ambitious men, without the consent of the people, and without known laws, take this conduct into their own hands, and make their immediate sovereign pleasure the sole rule of their subjects actions: The one being taken without their consent; the other given up by it; and men may preserve their *civil*, at the same time that they lose their *natural* liberty.

I have mentioned these things, because many seem to talk of *liberty* and *slavery*, especially in times of publick confusion, without any ideas at all: For liberty and slavery alter both their properties and their names, in different circumstances. Whatever is necessary to liberty, is in effect liberty itself: And whatever is so to the liberty of the whole, is so to the liberty of every individual. For example; in a time of publick danger, it may be necessary for every man to take up arms in defence of the state, and it is no violation of their liberties to compel them to do so; as we find

find in fact among the *Romans*, than whom no people under heaven had a greater passion for liberty; the priests, as well as the rest, were obliged to bear arms under any invasion from the *Gauls*; which they were never subject to do upon any other occasion whatsoever.

From hence we see, that government, as far as it goes, must necessarily be absolute; for if men were free to obey it, or not, there would be no need of government, nor possibility of any:—I say, government must be absolute, *as far as it goes*; that is, as far as it is necessary for the good of the people. But governors have no right from hence to make arbitrary, mischievous, or wanton laws: Which it is no difficulty to prevent under our constitution, if the people took a due care of the choice of their representatives, and of the magistrates elected by themselves: For as to the Sovereign, he is already bound by a most sacred oath at his coronation, to govern according to law; of which he has the most solemn and

strict account to give at the day of judgment; and, while we continue a protestant people, there is no power either in heaven or earth, that can, as the *Roman* catholics maintain of the Pope, absolve him from such an oath. And there is a very wide difference between this absolute government of which I am speaking, and that which is arbitrary; the one is founded on known *indispensable* laws; the other on the bare will and pleasure of the legislator, without any other form of law at all.—

From the account already given of society, it is very manifest, that there will sometimes be particular inconveniences to every part of it, arising from the disposition of the whole. But this must be placed to the common account of the weakness and imperfection of all sublunary things: And it would be highly unreasonable in those who suffer these inconveniences to think that therefore they are enslaved; or to be drawn aside, by the arts of factious and unpeaceable persons, from their loyalty and obedience.

It

It is no difficulty for men of any spirit and ingenuity, to talk well on the subject of liberty. It is fine in itself. And to generous minds it has singular charms; not only when they enjoy it themselves, but when they can contribute to that of others. A bare thought of its being in danger warms the soul: But when we imagine it is actually so, we are filled with a kind of enthusiastic fire, that consumes our calmer reason; and the least fuel added to it, spreads over our whole judgment; insomuch that if a man only "speak to us of peace," we the more fiercely "make ourselves ready to battle."

But if the fire would a little abate, and make way for cooler reflection, perhaps we should find, that this passion, glorious as it is in itself, was raised on unjust occasions, and carried to undue lengths; and that to avoid an imaginary, we were running into a real, snare. Would we consider the dire and rueful effects of anarchy, confusion, and intestine commotions! Would we make
the

the plain, obvious, and natural observations on the history of countries that have been torn by them; and particularly of this our native land, which, though they have often been the just efforts of a truly patriot spirit, and the foundation of all the liberties we have ever since enjoyed, have at other times been either unjust in their commencement, or carried to the most indefensible lengths; ending not only in a civil war (the unhappiest state a nation can be in) and the effusion of each others blood; but in the utter subversion of our happy constitution in church and state.

2. **I** Proceed to show the necessity of a *quiet* and *peaceable* disposition; and the folly, wickedness, and contradiction to true Christianity, of the *opposite* temper.

And this is founded in the very *nature of society*, and the advantages proposed by it; which it would be impossible to enjoy, were we ourselves turbulent in it, or exposed to the violence and oppression of those

those who are so. We enter into society purely to avoid these inconveniences ; and it is the greatest absurdity and contradiction in the world, to give into them with any pretence of the good of society. No one would willingly “ dwell among them that “ are enemies to peace,” unless they hoped to reap some private advantage from a general confusion. And though it be very true, that *peace* may be bought too dear, when it is purchased by the loss of *liberty* ; yet is it “ more precious than rubies, and “ all the things we can desire are not to be “ compared unto it :” And it is idle to sacrifice it to fantastic notions of liberty, since without peace there can be no liberty at all. What liberty do men enjoy in the midst of tumult and war, where each party is a restraint on the other ? And though one would sometimes be content to forego it for a time, yet is *peace* the only justifiable end to propose by *war*, that in the conclusion it may be established on better and more lasting foundations : The very trouble that one goes through for the sake of it,

it, is sufficient to shew its general estimation.

And if it is thus generally advantageous; if it be the only means whereby society can flourish, and we can exist happily in it; we are obliged, by every rule of *morality*, to promote it. To destroy it, is by far the greatest vice we can be guilty of. *Private* vices are only of prejudice to ourselves, and to a few about us; but this is an *universal* mischief. To interrupt the peace of *nations* is a crime, great in proportion to the persons or families in it. And though I shall be among the First, to allow a reasonable jealousy of our liberties, and to condemn any rulers or governors, that, under the sanction of authority, presume to invade or violate them, yet I cannot help thinking, those who wantonly spread such jealousies in a state, to be like false friends, who would be perpetually advising a tender *husband* to be upon his guard, and to take care of the honour of his family. The nature, and fatal effects of *jealousy*, are the

the same in *publick* as in *private* life ; and should any real mischief arise, the fomenters of each would be equally condemnable, however they might plead, that it was only a friendly caution, it being possible their honour might be betrayed, and the most secure had been deceived. The *probable* mischiefs render it contrary to all the principles of justice; and whether they do *in fact* arise or not, the crime, in morals, is the same.

But still farther, This disposition is not only necessary to true morality, but an essential duty of *our holy religion*; which is called “ the gospel of peace *,” and its Author “ the prince of peace †:” It is designed to bring it upon earth, and to spread a mutual good-will: Its advantages mentioned by the prophets, are always described in terms belonging thereto: Its precepts are mostly of that kind; and it is said to be the very reward of practising them in the other world: The worst of beings are represented as its greatest ene-

D mies;

* Rom. x. 15. Eph. vi. 15. † Is. ix. 6.

mies ; and the angels, who lost their primitive abode in heaven, were excluded from thence for the contrary disposition, namely, for their rebellion against “ the “ God of peace.”

And not only is this to be deduced from the *general* tenour of the Gospel, but the writers of the *New Testament* are very *particular* in their injunctions of this kind ; namely, “ as much as in us lieth to live “ peaceably with all men * ;” to follow “ peace and holiness, without which,” they tell us, “ no man shall see the Lord †.” And more especially with regard to government, we are required to “ submit ourselves to every ordinance of man for the “ Lord’s sake, whether it be to the king “ as supreme, or unto governors appointed “ by him ‡.”

And that this may not be looked upon as tyrannical and enslaving precepts, contrary to the natural rights and liberties of man-

* Rom. xii. 18.
ii. 13, 14.

† Heb. xii. 14.

‡ 1 Pet.

mankind; the duty and office of magistrates are likewise exactly described, and the relation they, and the people, stand in to one another, precisely determined.

“ Let every soul be subject unto the
 “ higher powers. For there is no power
 “ but of God: The powers that be are or-
 “ dained of God. Whosoever, therefore,
 “ resisteth the power, resisteth the ordi-
 “ nance of God: And they that resist shall
 “ receive to themselves damnation. For
 “ rulers are not a terror to good works,
 “ but to the evil. Wilt thou then not be
 “ afraid of the power? do that which is
 “ good, and thou shalt have praise of the
 “ same: For he is the minister of God to
 “ thee for good. But if thou do that
 “ which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth
 “ not the sword in vain: For he is the
 “ minister of God, a revenger to execute
 “ wrath upon him that doth evil*.”

It is impossible for any writer, more justly and nicely to point out the nature and foundation of any relative duty, than

D 2

the

* Rom. xiii. 1, &c.

the apostle has here described the duty of magistrates and subjects. The people are required to be obedient to the magistrate,—from no other principle, but that He is appointed for *their own* good and benefit; not for the gratification of *his* pride, or vain ostentation of power and dominion. And this is the only foundation, on which we can, with any propriety and consistency and regard to the liberties of mankind, exhort them to obedience. For which reason, it is equally our duty to exhort Magistrates of all ranks and degrees, agreeably to what is here said, to study nothing else but the welfare of the People; to administer inflexible and impartial justice; to “ deliver “ the poor that cry, and the fatherless, and “ him that hath none to help him;” to “ put on righteousness, that it may cloath “ them,” and “ to take judgment for a robe “ and a diadem;” by no means making government a scheme for their own interest, or “ turning aside after lucre, or “ taking bribes, or perverting judgment.”

When *governors* and *subjects* thus unite in performing their *mutual* duties, there can
 I be

be no doubt but “ peace will be within our
 “ walls, and prosperity within our pa-
 “ laces :” But when either shall incroach on
 the prerogatives, or liberties, of the other,
 we can expect nothing but hatred, strife,
 variance, and the most fatal effects of anar-
 chy and confusion.—To prevent which,
 “ I exhort (in the words of the apostle)
 “ that supplications, prayers, intercession,
 “ and giving of thanks, be made for all
 “ men: For kings, and for all that are in
 “ authority ; that we may lead a quiet and
 “ peaceable life in all godliness and ho-
 “ nesty. For this is good and acceptable
 “ in the sight of God our Saviour : Who
 “ will have all men to be saved, and
 “ to come unto the knowledge of the
 “ truth *.”

And especially, on this present occasion,
 let us unite in prayers, and all other ex-
 pressions of loyalty, to our rightful and law-
 ful sovereign King GEORGE ; discountenan-
 cing and opposing, as much as in us lies, all
 attempts against his person and government.
 We

* 1 Tim. ii. 1, &c.

/ We are alarmed with accounts, however
 confused and uncertain, of an invasion of
 our country, by a natural enemy, with
 whom we are at present in an open war,
 in favour of a *popish pretender* to his crown :
 A pretender, with whom it is infinitely
 absurd, if not quite impossible, that any
 real *protestant* can join ; he being a bigot to
 the most wicked and cruel of all religions,
 and brought up at the feet of Antichrist
 himself. Which has forfeited all pretensions
 of right to these kingdoms ; though he were
 the lineal successor of the unhappy prince
 which he claims to be ; and who has been
 long excluded by the fundamental laws of
 our constitution. For which reason we
 are bound, as *Englishmen*, as *Protestants*,
 and therefore even as *Christians*, to discoun-
 tenance him and all his abettors ; to un-
 sheath the sword against them, and sa-
 crifice our lives in opposition to them.

What, shall we see our constitution vio-
 lated ? our religion subverted ? our country
 torn assunder ? our streets running down
 with blood ? Shall we bow the knee to An-
 tichrist ? shall we forego all the blessings of
 peace ?

peace? shall we involve ourselves in a civil war?—And all this for what?—At best, for an imaginary principle of indefeasible right, long since exploded by the thinking and judicious, when set in opposition to a parliamentary, constitutional right: But yet, not so properly for that neither, as for the interest of a few desperate men, who hope to make their own advantage of a general confusion; and have no other view in it, but to reap the wages of iniquity.

Those who have *property* among us, and will risque it on an uncertain division of the land, deserve to be treated as madmen, and to be *begged* before-hand for their estates.—Those who expect to enjoy a *toleration* of the *protestant* religion, under a *popish* government, can know nothing of the history of this country, or of the world.—Those who expect to enjoy their *liberty*, under a family supported, and protected by, and consequently dependent upon a *French* tyranny, must forfeit all title to the name of *Britons*, or of *Englishmen*.—And those who will carry their *dislike* of any measures *at home*, to so undue a length as *rebellion*, must

must be the most intemperate, even of all men; "who have zeal without knowledge;" and have not at all considered the consequences.

For, allowing the person who has made this attempt upon us, to be what his friends would paint him out to be; suppose him the most accomplished prince upon earth; that the affability and address which he affects, be sincere; that his disposition be infinitely humane and benevolent, and his charity beyond example; suppose him ever so well-intentioned, and resolved to keep the fair promises he should make you;—yet after all this,—do you know, that it is not *in his own power* to keep his word with you? that even his conscience is not his own, but in the keeping of his *confessor* and of *the pope*? and that as long as the thunder of *Rome* is able to reach this island, he is every moment liable to be *himself deposed*, for being *honest to you*? and must do deeds worthy of hell, in order to get absolution from the priest, and permission "to enter into the kingdom of
" hea-

“ heaven ?” So absurd, as well as wicked, a religion is that of popery!

But supposing, on the other hand, that this external fair appearance be all artifice and disguise, to cover over a cruel, inexorable, revengeful disposition; and that he should be led to chastise, with the most outrageous fury, those who have so long continued in opposition to him;—will not every true patriot, every tender father, every indulgent husband, weep tears of blood to think, what miseries they have brought upon their wives and children, their country and friends, by their going over to such a tyrant, or their cool opposition to him?

The dispositions of the present *Royal Family* are sufficiently known, by a long and uninterrupted enjoyment of our rights and liberties; ay, and by a candid indulgence to any fair opposition to measures some of us have disliked. And shall we run the risk of losing these privileges, under a family, that at best we know not; and from whom we have the worst to fear!

E

It

It is no longer time to be wavering, or inactive: Our ALL is at stake; and our *laws*, our *liberties*, our *religion*, and, to many, *salvation* itself depends on the part we now act; as they may be tempted, through cowardice, to renounce the *true* religion, and embrace a *false* one; and thereby incur the everlasting displeasure “ of the avenger “ of all such.”

However, it is to be hoped, at last, that the number of each will be but small; as all wise and sober men will certainly prefer the happy state of peace, which I have been discoursing of, and which we indisputably enjoy under the present government, to anarchy and confusion, of which we know not what may be the consequence; “ the beginning of strife being” (according to *Solomon’s* observation) “ as “ when one letteth out water *;” whose current is not easily stopt, and which, if it be not stopt, will bear down all before it. It will be our wisest way to learn the lesson *Solomon* himself draws from this observation; “ therefore leave off contention “ before

* Prov. xvii. 14.

“ before it be meddled with.” Contention, the disposition of hell; the ruin of families, of friendships, of every relation, and of all civil society; which is of all dispositions the most odious in the sight of God: A disposition, with which it is impossible we can either enter into the kingdom of heaven, or even relish it, if we were introduced there. Heaven, the state of peace and harmony; and which, at the final conclusion of all things, will be the most firm, and lasting, and blessed connection of all beings, from God himself, to the meanest rational creature, of whom it is possible to have any idea.

To which happy state may God Almighty, of his infinite mercy, bring us all, through Jesus Christ; to whom be glory now and for evermore.
Amen.

F I N I S.

Published by the same Author.

1. **A** Sermon preached before the Right Honourable the Lord Mayor, the Court of Aldermen, and several Livery-Companies of the City of London, at the Cathedral Church of St. Paul, Monday, November 5, 1733.

2. A Critical Dissertation on 1 Cor. xi. 10.
"For this Cause ought the Woman to
"have Power on her head, because of
"the angels."

And speedily will be delivered to the Subscribers, a Volume of Sermons on the following Subjects.

Men the Offspring of God.	Nathan's Parable, and the Effect of it on David.
Virtue the End of Man's Creation.	Simplicity of Conversation.
The Necessity of practising universal Virtue.	Elisba's cursing the little Children, and the Mischiefs of bad Education.
The Excellency of the Christian Morals.	The Difficulty of Repentance, an Argument against Vice.
The Wisdom of a Man.	The Consequence of a right Belief of the Omnipresence of God.
The Character of the Disciple whom Jesus loved.	The present State of Probation.
The true Nature of Relationship.	Advantages arising from a Belief of the Christian System.
The Improvement of our Talents.	
Nabal, or the Wickedness of a churlish Disposition.	

Subscriptions will continue to be taken in by *W. Sandby*, at the *Ship* without *Temple-Bar*, until the first of *December*; when the Subscription will be finally closed.

